

ARTICLE IN PRESS

[m5G;March 18, 2016;20:58]

compute: communications

Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computer Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/comcom

Content centric routing in IoT networks and its integration in RPL

Yichao Jin^{a,*}, Sedat Gormus^{b,1}, Parag Kulkarni^a, Mahesh Sooriyabandara^a

^a Toshiba Telecommunications Research Laboratory, 32 Queen Square, Bristol BS1 4ND, United Kingdom ^b Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online xxx

Q1

Keywords: IoT Routing Content centric Data aggregation Implementation

ABSTRACT

Internet of Things (IoT) networks can be used for many applications across different industry domains including infrastructure monitoring, civil service, security and surveillance applications etc. However, gathering large amounts of data from such networks including images and videos often cause traffic congestion in the central network area. In order to solve this problem, we proposed the content centric routing (CCR) technology, where routing paths are determined by content. By routing the correlated data to intermediate relay nodes for processing, a higher data aggregation ratio can be obtained, hence effectively reducing the traffic in the network. As a result, significant latency reduction can be achieved. Moreover, redundant data transmissions can also be eliminated after data aggregation which reduces the energy consumption spent predominantly on wireless communication thereby conserving limited battery. CCR was further integrated with the IETF RPL protocol and implemented in Contiki OS using the TelosB platform. Finally, both simulation and implementation results prove the superior performance of CCR in terms of low network latency, high energy efficiency, and high reliability.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1 1. Introduction

02

2 Distributed computing in wireless networks has recently been attracting a lot of attention, especially in the emerging paradigm 3 4 of the Internet of Things (IoT) communications where IoT devices are equipped with independent processing, communication, and 5 6 storage capabilities [1]. The key idea is that rather than sending all raw data directly across an expensive (multi-hop) wireless net-7 work which is usually correlated with high energy consumption 8 and time delays, a more cost-effective way is to first reduce the 9 data volume locally via in-network processing and subsequently 10 11 forward only the processed result. Therefore, we can save band-12 width and energy, reduce latency and extend the network life in resource constrained IoT network [2]. 13

In many cases, data collected for the same application tends to be highly correlated [3] and therefore can be combined or jointly processed while forwarding to the sink. For example, fusing together multiple sensor readings related to the same physical event. Such *data aggregation* process can reduce the total amount of

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1179060780. *E-mail addresses: yichao.jin@toshiba-trel.com, yichao.jin@hotmail.com (Y. Jin),* sedatgormus@ktu.edu.tr (S. Gormus), parag.kulkarni@toshiba-trel.com (P. Kulkarni), mahesh@toshiba-trel.com (M. Sooriyabandara).

¹ This work was carried out when the author was with Toshiba Research Europe Ltd.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2016.03.005 0140-3664/© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. messages to be sent over expensive wireless links, which has a 19 significant impact on energy consumption as well as overall net-20 work efficiency. On the other hand, uncorrelated packets might not 21 be simply aggregated from the processing point of view, e.g. it is 22 not meaningful to calculate an average of a temperature and a hu-23 midity reading. Therefore, one critical issue in data aggregation is 24 to determine an optimized information flow and communication 25 topology in order to efficiently route the correlated data to the 26 intended processing nodes in the network. Let's take the tunnel 27 monitoring system as an example to give more insight. As shown 28 in Fig. 1, a variety of sensor nodes and cameras are installed to 29 monitor two key tunnel assessments: tunnel structure safety and 30 traffic management, where a huge amount of real-time sensory 31 data including images and video streams needs to be delivered to 32 a remote control centee. Traditionally, the server first collects all 33 the data via the same routing topology regardless of whether the 34 data is used for tunnel safety or traffic management. This case is 35 illustrated in Fig. 1(A). Take Node 1 for example, it sends both Tun-36 nel safety data A and traffic data B to node 5 as they are treated 37 as the same. Once all data reaches the destination, the final results 38 are computed at the server side. However, this is very likely to cre-39 ate a 'hot-spot' problem, where heavy network traffic in the cen-40 tral area results in higher energy consumption on the neck nodes 41 and is also prone to traffic congestion events. This is due to the 42 fact that the neck nodes are geographically closer to the access 43 point/server, thus they have to forward messages coming from the 44 outer regions (Fig. 1(A)). 45



Fig. 1. Conventional routing vs. CCR.

46 To overcome such problem, we proposed a content centric routing (CCR) protocol in [4] for efficient data aggregation in multi-47 hop IoT networks. We differentiate data by its content while rout-48 ing information, and correlated data are termed as the data of the 49 same content. As shown in Fig. 1(B), since both Node 1 and Node 3 50 51 provide information for traffic conditions, rather than sending con-52 tent B to Node 5 as shown in Fig. 1(A), Node 1 sends it to Node 53 3 where they can be combined or aggregated while forwarding to 54 the server. Intermediate results such as heavy traffic warnings can be computed within the network. As a result, two distinctive rout-55 ing topologies based on content A and B are created in CCR. This 56 can help to reduce the amount of redundant data sent over the 57 network and also the time lag in the communication system, sav-58 59 ing limited node energy and extending the network lifetime.

60 CCR provides a paradigm shift from traditional ways of data collection to content oriented data aggregation and retrieval. This 61 change could bring several attractive advantages such as energy ef-62 ficiency, fast system response, long network lifetime etc. and pro-63 vides a way to solve the data explosion problem [5] for the fu-64 65 ture IoT network. In [4], we proposed a multi-objective function to provide optimized in-network data aggregation with the aim of 66 reducing latency, load balancing and extending the network life-67 68 time. Using which, each node can refine its routing strategy according to neighboring traffic patterns and the energy availability 69 70 of the neighboring nodes. In addition, a routing candidate selection 71 mechanism was developed in order to avoid communication loops, 72 and the signaling cost of local message gossiping is controlled to 73 conserve limited node energy and resources. With respect to our 74 previous work, in this paper, we further extend the analysis and 75 protocol explanations in [4], including updated frameworks, signaling and control message details, and trigger function flows etc. 76 Furthermore, we presented a possible integration of CCR in a real 77 industrial standard (the IETF RPL protocol [6]). The implementa-78 tion is based on the Contiki OS² and TelosB platform. Last but 79 not least, CCR is evaluated by both simulation and implementation 80 81 experiments. To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first to present how to implement a content based routing protocol for 82 in-network data aggregation. 83

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 covers the related work. In Section 3, we present our models and assumptions following which we present the CCR protocol in Section 4.86The CCR implementation and integration with RPL is illustrated in
Section 5. The efficacy of the design is illustrated in Section 6 with
both simulation and emulation results. The paper finally concludes
in Section 7 highlighting some of the key findings.86

91

2. Related work

Different from recent popular notion of Content-Centric Networking (CCN) or Named Data Networks (NDN) [8]–[10] which has a aim of caching and subscribing data based on content rather than the host. The main focus of the proposed CCR technology is to provide optimized routing topology to facilitate in-network data aggregation and reduce the network traffic. 98

Routing and data aggregation mechanisms have received con-99 siderable attention in the literature [3], [11] in the context of wire-100 less sensor networks. The existing body of work can be broadly 101 classified into two categories - centralized and distributed ap-102 proaches. Centralized approaches [12]–[17] usually pre-compute 103 and construct the optimal appropriate routing structure before the 104 network starts to operate. In [13], a network lifetime maximum ag-105 gregation tree solution is proposed. Load balancing is considered 106 in [15], and authors in [17] further took the aggregation computa-107 tional cost into account. However, global network information is 108 often required for above literatures which can introduce signifi-109 cant control overhead. In order to reduce control overhead, dis-110 tributed clustering approaches such as [18]-[24] resort to forming 111 hierarchical routing topologies via local message gossiping. How-112 ever, only a simple shortest path tree topology is adopted in [22]. 113 In [23], a dynamic clustering based approach is proposed. How-114 ever, the clustering process is triggered per event or application, 115 resulting in large transmission cost in forming the clusters. In ad-116 dition, similar to the clustering approach, tree ([13], [14], [25]) or 117 Direct Acyclic Graph (DAG)[26] based approaches also require a 118 specific routing topology to operate, and hence limits their abil-119 ities to cope with dynamic network conditions. This is because 120 each time a network change happens such as link breakage or 121 early energy depletion of some critical routing nodes, the network 122 topology information needs to be updated to reflect the prevail-123 ing conditions. This, however, has the cost of introducing addi-124 tional control traffic to the network as well as incurs additional 125 delays. 126

² Contiki is an operating system to network embedded devices. It is highly portable and can be ported to more than 12 different microprocessor and micro-controller architectures. [7]

JID: COMCOM

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Jin et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



167

168

188



Fig. 2. Hop distance based ring topology [4].

A key shortcoming of existing solutions is that they often as-127 sume homogeneous network conditions, for example: homogenous 128 traffic or universal node processing capability. However, traffic is 129 dynamic in nature. Each time the traffic of an application changes 130 or a new application arrives, the optimized network structure 131 should, ideally, also be re-formed. In a dynamic environment with 132 multiple applications co-existing, different data aggregation paths 133 are required for efficient delivery of different types of data and 134 135 better organization of heterogeneous traffic flows. A pre-optimized 136 static structure cannot satisfy the requirements in such a scenario. 137 On the other hand, it is not computationally efficient to frequently reconstruct a global network topology or to compute and build 138 multiple overlaid topologies because such approach would be ex-139 pensive to maintain in lossy environments. 140

141 On a related note, a perfect channel condition is also usually assumed [4], [21], [27], which may not always be the case in the real 142 world as communication link quality can vary over time. Such an 143 assumption can jeopardize the delivery of a message and can po-144 145 tentially result in re-transmissions which result in further energy 146 depletion. Routing packets based on link quality and connectivity can improve communication reliability [6] but it does not necessar-147 ily lead to energy efficient routing. Thus, in addition to improving 148 communication reliability, conserving the limited on-board energy 149 of the battery powered nodes is an important requirement in order 150 151 to keep the nodes alive and running in such resource constrained networks. 152

To overcome some of the problems above, the CCR algorithm 153 [4] was introduced to provide content based information flow and 154 155 optimized in-network data aggregation with the aim of avoiding the transmission of redundant network traffic, reducing network 156 157 delay, conserving limited energy resource and extending the net-158 work lifetime. Furthermore, compared with our previous work [4], we provide analysis on a possible integration of CCR in a real 159 160 IoT protocol stack. CCR is modified such that it can be integrated with the RPL protocol and show its compatibility with a stan-161 dard based protocol stack. Its effectiveness is evaluated via both 162 Matlab simulation and more practical Contiki Cooja based emula-163 tion. A small scale CCR implementation Demo based on real hard-164 165 ware (TelosB mote) was developed and demonstrated on various 166 occasions.

3. System models and assumptions

3.1. Network model

We assume that nodes send data to a gateway node residing at 169 the centre of the topology. The gateway node is much more capa-170 ble (e.g. in terms of processing power, memory etc.) than the indi-171 vidual nodes themselves and has access to mains power. Nodes are 172 battery powered and have a finite and heterogeneous initial energy 173 supply E(i). Transmission power control is not enabled and there-174 fore all nodes have a fixed communication range. We also assume 175 heterogeneous node processing capability, e.g., a node may only be 176 capable of processing one or a few particular types of content due 177 to hardware or software constraints. In case a node receives a data 178 packet that it cannot process, it simply relays the packet. To begin 179 with, the gateway broadcasts a radio ranging message to help the 180 nodes ascertain how many hops away are they located from the 181 gateway. Nodes that receive this message are assigned with a layer 182 ID. This layer ID represents the minimum hop distance between a 183 node and the gateway. Subsequent to assignment of the layer ID, 184 the node forwards this message with its own layer ID included in 185 this message. Such a wave like propagation, results in the forma-186 tion of a ring type of topology as shown in Fig. 2. 187

3.2. Application and aggregation mode

We mainly consider monitoring applications (multi-point to 189 point data collection scenario) in this paper for data aggregation 190 purpose. All data packets associated to the same processing ob-191 jective are termed as the packet of the same content, which then 192 can be processed by a corresponding processing node. For exam-193 ple, same type of data (temperature readings) gathered in a build-194 ing can be treated as the same content if an application requires 195 the average building temperature. For simplicity, we assume that 196 each application running in the network only has a single pro-197 cessing objective, but multiple applications can co-exist. A total 198 number of K applications $A = \{a_k \mid k = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$ have the same 199 poisson arrival rate of λ , but with different running duration of 200 $T = \{t_k \mid k = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$ and heterogeneous traffic traffic data rates 201 R_k . Depending on the accuracy requirement of an application, 202



(b) Sophisticated in-network processing

Fig. 3. Aggregation model examples for different applications.

different data aggregation functions can be applied considering 203 lossy or lossless aggregation processes [28]. For each node *i* with 204 processing function s, a generic data aggregation model is defined 205 206 below:

 $R_i^{out} = \omega_s \times R_i^{in}$ (1) $0 < \omega_s \leq 1$

where R_i^{in} and R_i^{out} represent the incoming and outgoing traffic 207 208 rate, respectively. ω_s is the data aggregation or compression rate 209 depends on the processing function s. If $\omega_s = 1$, it means that the 210 current content cannot be processed by s. ω_s can also be a variable 211 depending on the processing function. For example, there may be considerable correlation of data streams comprising data reports of 212 213 AVERAGE or MAX readings for monitoring applications, which can aggregate multiple incoming messages into a single outgoing mes-214 sage. In such cases, depending on the total received message num-215 ber (assuming *M*) on an aggregation node, ω_s could be $\frac{1}{M}$. Never-216 theless, we assume only messages from the same application can 217 be aggregated. For reasons, different data types may not be easily 218 processed or just not possible to do so in some cases. For example, 219 220 it is not meaningful to calculate the average value of a temperature and a humidity reading. An example of data aggregation can 221 222 be found in Fig. 3.

In order to have a good data aggregation opportunity, it is as-223 sumed that aggregation is carried out in a periodic manner at each 224 225 hop, i.e. each node waits for a pre-defined period of time to gather information [29] and then performs the aggregation. A timeout 226 clock is used in case some packets get lost during transmission. 227

4. The proposed CCR protocol 228

4

CCR is a distributed process, when an application arrives at the 229 gateway following which a default routing structure is first used 230 to initiate data collection. The focus of the subsequent phases is 231

about optimizing this routing structure. Each node has a probabil-232 ity p_t to refine its next hop relay by executing an objective function 233 *F*. The node that intends to execute *F* (referred to as an objective 234 node hereafter), first broadcasts a local guery message to its one-235 hop neighboring nodes. The query message comprises the objective 236 node's outgoing traffic content types and corresponding traffic vol-237 ume, and the candidate selection criterion (TTGF bits described in 238 Section 4.3). The qualified next hop candidate will then respond to 239 it with an ACK message, which consists the information required 240 by F such as the responder's ID and the estimated node lifetime of 241 the responder if the designated traffic was sent to that candidate 242 node. Using this information as the input of the objective func-243 tion, candidate rankings are produced and the one with the highest 244 ranking is selected to relay the corresponding traffic. Finally, the 245 objective node updates the routing table and broadcasts a route 246 update announcement message containing new next hop node ID 247 for corresponding traffic content. Subsequently, the new next hop 248 nodes reply with JOIN ACK messages and the previous relay nodes 249 send LEAVE ACK messages. As a result of this process, an overlaid 250 tree topology for multiple traffic content types can be updated dy-251 namically. Details of the message sequence signaling involved in 252 this process is illustrated in Fig. 4. 253

CCR's operation includes three main functions: trigger function, 254 objective function, and routing updates with loop detection func-255 tion, and its system architecture is shown in Fig. 5. The trigger 256 function decides how frequently to execute the CCR objective func-257 tion. It ensures a high execution frequency under dynamic net-258 work conditions in order to keep the routing table up-to-date, and 259 a low execution frequency when the network stabilizes to reduce 260 the cost of local signaling. Once the objective function is triggered, 261 the node queries its neighbors to provide some information such 262 as data traffic status, remaining battery power level and the con-263 tent in their own routing tables. Using this information as the in-264 put of the objective function, candidate rankings are produced and 265

ARTICLE IN PRESS

300



Fig. 4. CCR signaling messages.

the one with the highest ranking is selected to route the corresponding content. Hence, the objective function constructs a separate routing entry for each content in the routing table. Lastly, an effective loop avoidance mechanism is designed in order to detect communication loops and conserve the limited amount of energy stored at each node. Details of each function are described in the following sections.

273 4.1. Trigger function

In dynamic network environments, most routing protocols periodically update their routing information and keep the routing table up to date. This, however, incurs additional control overheads. In resource constrained networks with lossy links, these signaling messages should be controlled in order to conserve limited onboard node energy.

The frequency of executing the objective function at a time t is controlled by a probability p_t . This probability is calculated independently on each node and does not require any local or global network information. p_t is defined as below:

$$p_t = \min\left(\left(\sum_{t_1}^t |\Delta_k| + 1\right) \times p_{default}, 1\right)$$
(2)

Where Δ_k is the traffic content variation of each node at a time round.³ *t* is the current time instance while t_1 is the previous time instance when the node ran the objective function. $p_{default}$ is the default probability determined by system parameters, details of this can found in Section 6.1.2. An example of variation of Δ_k is shown in Fig. 6(a), and the system function flow to execute *F* based on p_t is illustrated in Fig. 6(b).

Thus, the probability of executing the objective function in-291 creases if a large value of Δ_k is produced due to traffic content 292 variation. On the other hand, when the network stabilizes (small 293 Δ_k), the probability p_t decreases. It becomes $p_{default}$ if no changes 294 occur, which effectively reduces control overhead. This ensures that 295 even in a slow changing environment, the routing table is still kept 296 297 updated. Yet, the probability to execute the objective function is 298 much lower in a stable network compared to a dynamically chang-299 ing one.

The objective function F is executed on an objective node i in 301 order to find out the most suitable next hop node j for each traffic content k among N neighboring candidates. Since the traffic is 303 differentiated by its content type, the objective node maintains a 304 separate routing entry for each content k and updates it by executing the objective function. Details of the objective function are described as below in (3). 307

$$F(k) = \max_{j \in N} \left(\tilde{g}_j^k - g_j^k + \beta \frac{l_j^k - l_{j^*}}{\tilde{l}_j^k} + \varepsilon_j^k \right)$$
(3)

where the first term $\tilde{g}_{j}^{k} - g_{j}^{k}$ calculates the normalized communication data reduction via aggregation process which is called as the marginal processing gain. The second term $\frac{l_{j}^{k} - l_{j^{*}}}{l_{j}^{k}}$ is the link quality 310 aware local network lifetime gain estimation; while β is a tuning 311 parameter to provide weights between the two parameters. Finally, 312 ε_{j}^{k} is a reward parameter. We'll explain each parameter in the following sections. 314

The first term in (3), \tilde{g}_j^k is the processing gain by allocating application content k's traffic to Node j, and g_j^k is the processing gain 317 without allocating content k's traffic to j, where g_j^k is calculated as: 318 319

$$_{j}^{k} = \frac{\sum_{k \in K} R_{j}^{in}(k) - \sum_{k \in K} R_{j}^{out}(k)}{\sum_{k \in K} R_{j}^{in}(k)}$$
(4)

Basically, $\sum_{k \in K} R_j^{in}(k)$ and $\sum_{k \in K} R_j^{out}(k)$ stand for the total 320 amount of incoming and outgoing traffic for total *K* applications 321 on Node *j*, respectively. Therefore, the numerator of (4) represents 322 the total amount of traffic reduction via data aggregation at node 323 *j*. This value is then divided by the total incoming traffic. The rationale behind this parameter is: 325

- It is the normalization process which makes the marginal processing gain numerically comparable with the local lifetime gain (second term shown in (3)) and therefore facilitates computation of a multi-gain and,
 328
- For load balancing purposes, it is preferable to relay traffic to 330 a node that can provide the same processing gain (reduce the 331 same amount of data), but with less traffic than is already assigned to it. In other words, with the same amount of reduction in traffic achievable through aggregation, the more incoming traffic a node has, the smaller processing gain it can obtain. 335

An example illustrating the above concept is shown in Fig. 7. 336 Two applications $(a_1 \& a_2)$ are collecting data in a network formed 337 by 6 nodes. Nodes 1–3 are the source nodes of a_1 and Node 4 is 338 the source node for application a_2 . It is assumed that both Nodes 4 339 and 5 can process a_1 and a_2 with $\omega_{a_1} = \omega_{a_2} = 1/M$.⁴ Assume that 340 Node 3 is executing the objective function to determine which of 341 the two nodes (Node 4 or Node 5) should forward its traffic (a_1) . 342 Clearly, in this example, Node 5 will be selected as it has a better 343 processing gain due to the fact that it is only ferrying traffic for 344 a single application type and therefore can aggregate information 345 unlike Node 4 which cannot aggregate traffic as it is transporting 346 data for two different types of application. 347

^{4.2.} The objective function (F)

³ A round is a basic time unit defined in this paper.

⁴ Mis the total number of messages received for the same application as described in Section 3.2.

6

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

[m5G;March 18, 2016;20:58]



(a) Conventional approach with single routing topology



(b) CCR creates an overlaid routing topology based on content

Fig. 5. CCR architecture and operational difference.



(b) Function flow

By taking advantage of the processing gain, the amount of com-348 349 munication traffic can be reduced by aggregating correlated data. However, this does not necessarily imply that a longer network lifetime can be achieved because energy consumption could be 352 higher if the selected link has a very poor channel quality. Fur-353 thermore, heterogeneous node energy levels need to be consid-354 ered as, in principle, if a node is equipped with more energy it 355 can relay and process more information compared with those with

350

351

less on-board energy. Therefore, another parameter is introduced 356 in the objective function shown in Eq. (3), known as the link qual-357 ity aware local lifetime gain. 358

4.2.2. Link quality aware local lifetime estimation

Due to the inherent nature of the wireless medium, link quality 360 can vary. There are various link quality estimation methods. For 361 example, ETX (Expected Transmission count) [30] is a popular link 362

359

Please cite this article as: Y. Jin et al., Content centric routing in IoT networks and its integration in RPL, Computer Communications (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2016.03.005

Fig. 6. Dynamic trigger function.

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 7. Examples for processing gain.

۶

quality/reliability parameter used in many routing protocols such
as RPL [6]. ETX is the average number of transmissions required by
a sender to successfully deliver a message to the destination.

Since the ETX value of a link can be easily converted to the average amount of energy spent on transmissions per packet via that link, we chose this parameter to assess the communication link quality. This further contributes to estimation of the local network lifetime. Even though ETX is used in this work, it should be possible to use other link quality metrics with simple modification to the estimation function.

The local lifetime gain parameter
$$\frac{l_{j}^{k}-l_{j*}}{l_{j}^{k}}$$
 shown in (3) is defined

as the minimum node lifetime among the objective node *i* and its*N* qualified neighboring candidate nodes.

$$F = \min\left(\frac{E_i}{e_i}, \min_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{E_j}{e_j}\right)\right)$$
(5)

376 where E_i and E_i is the current battery energy level for the objec-377 tive node and the candidate node respectively; and e_i and e_i is the 378 total energy consumption including processing, transmission and 379 reception costs. In (3), l_{i^*} stands for the current local network life-380 time which can be simply obtained via the query process shown in Fig. 4. While l_i^k is the estimated local network lifetime if the con-381 tent k's traffic is allocated to a new candidate j rather than j^* . In 382 order to calculate l_i^k , the estimated energy consumption of the ob-383 jective node \tilde{e}_i and each candidate node \tilde{e}_i have to be estimated by 384 considering switching the content traffic k from the current next 385 hop node j^* to a new candidate node j. Thus, for each candidate 386 node j ($j \neq j^*$), e_i^k can be calculated as: 387

$$\tilde{e}_i^k = e_i^* - (ETX_i^{j^*} - ETX_i^{j}) \times U^k \times e_t$$
(6)

where $ETX_i^{j^*}$ and ETX_i^j stand for the ETX value of the current link from *i* to *j*^{*} and the link from *i* to a candidate node *j* respectively, U^k is the total amount of data for traffic *k* and *e_t* is the average energy consumption to transmit one bit of data.

Similarly, the estimated energy consumption e_j^k $(j \neq j^*)$ can be obtained as shown in (7).

$$\tilde{e_j^k} = e_j^* + U^k \times e_r + U^k \times e_p + ETX_j^{NextHop} \times U_p^k \times e_t$$
(7)

where e_r , e_p are the energy consumption to receive and process one bit of data and, U_p^k is the amount of additional data after processing which *j* has to send to its next hop node. If node *j* cannot process content *k*, then $U_p^k = U^k$. 4.2.3. Reward parameter ε

398

421

The reward parameter ε_i^k is introduced in order to accom-399 modate the heterogeneous processing capability of nodes. Certain 400 nodes, for example, may only be capable of processing specific 401 types of content, due to hardware or software constraints, while 402 other nodes may not be able to process any type of data. The re-403 ward parameter ε_i^k is used to give additional credit for a node j 404 that can process the corresponding content k. The value of the re-405 ward parameter is set as follows: 406

$$\sigma_{j}^{k} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \text{ cannot process } k \\ \sigma, & \text{if } j \text{ can process } k \ (\sigma \text{ is a constant}) \end{cases}$$

Please note that the marginal processing gain in F already gives 407 credit to a Node *j* that is able to process content *k*, providing a 408 traffic reduction of k can be produced on j. Therefore, even in the 409 absence of the reward parameter, traffic is more likely to be for-410 warded to nodes that are capable of processing the data in ad-411 dition to merely relaying it. An example of this is illustrated in 412 Fig. 8(a).⁵ However, if a Node j has the capability of processing 413 content k but there is currently no other traffic k routed via j, the 414 processing gain is zero because there is no traffic reduction. In this 415 instance, the reward parameter can help to ensure that traffic is 416 still forwarded to that Node *j* as shown in Fig. 8(b). Thus, although 417 the value of σ could be relatively small compared to the other pa-418 rameters in F, it provides a bias to forward traffic to nodes that are 419 capable of processing the particular type of content in question. 420

4.3. Candidate selection and loop avoidance

Communication loops can cause several problems such as traf-422 fic congestion, packet loss (due to Time-To-Live expiry), and addi-423 tional energy consumed in repeatedly processing and transmission 424 of looping messages. In RPL [6], a popular routing protocol used 425 in lossy wireless networks, a message header is used to detect 426 communication loops. RPL does not allow messages to be routed 427 'down' to a child node, if these are supposed to be sent 'up' to-428 wards the root. If a loop is detected, the message is discarded 429 and a local repair is carried out. However, such a loop avoidance 430 scheme limits the number of neighbors that can be selected as the 431 next hop relay. Consequently, this limits the possibility to perform 432 distributed processing and to reduce the network traffic volume. 433

Please cite this article as: Y. Jin et al., Content centric routing in IoT networks and its integration in RPL, Computer Communications (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2016.03.005

[m5G;March 18, 2016;20:58]

⁵ Note that for simplicity, in this example, the link quality aware local network lifetime estimation is omitted from consideration.



Fig. 9. Example shown the advantage of TTGF loop avoidance.

To overcome this limitation, we introduce the Time-To-Go-Forward 434 (TTGF) in order to select appropriate neighboring nodes (as candi-435 date next hop nodes) that would respond to the local query mes-436 437 sage and avoid communication loops. TTGF relaxes the restriction introduced in RPL that traffic bound for upward nodes cannot be 438 routed to a downward node, provided a higher processing gain can 439 be achieved within the TTGF tolerance range. Fig. 9 shows an ex-440 ample of the difference between the TTGF approach (Fig. 9(a)) and 441 the conventional RPL loop avoidance approach (Fig. 9(b)). 442

TTGF is a similar notion to the Time-To-Live (TTL) metric which 443 can be added to the header of the data packet. It works together 444 with the node layer ID, which represents the minimum number 445 of hops required for each node to reach the sink. Details of how 446 to obtain this node layer ID is described in Section 3. TTGF con-447 tains two parameters: (1) the TTGF layer ID, (2) the TTGF count. 448 The TTGF layer ID points to the lowest node layer ID that a mes-449 sage reaches. The value of TTGF layer ID is updated as the packet 450

is forwarded on the way to the sink. If a successful forward trans-451 mission is made (the current/recipient node layer ID < TTGF layer 452 ID), the value of the TTGF layer ID is updated by the current node 453 layer ID. The TTGF count works as a 'count down' parameter. In 454 case the recipient has the same or higher node layer ID compared 455 to the TTGF layer ID, the message has not reached 'closer' to the 456 sink. Therefore, the TTGF count is reduced by one. Once the TTGF 457 count reaches zero, only those with a lower layer ID compared to 458 the objective node's layer ID can be chosen as the next hop can-459 didate. The TTGF count is set to the preset default value once the 460 TTGF layer ID is updated. 461

By using TTGF, we allow messages to be relayed to nodes with the same or even higher depth of the network layer within the TTGF tolerance value, such that a proper processing node can be found in order to aggregate data. On the other hand, if a message that has not been forwarded any 'closer' to the sink within TTGF hops, is forced to do so by selecting a lower layer node as the next

ARTICLE IN PRESS

9



Fig. 10. Loop avoidance with TTGF.



Fig. 11. The integration of CCR components into Contiki and RPL architecture.

hop candidate. An example of using TTGF is shown in Fig. 10. In 468 469 case of event (a) shown in Fig. 10, a forward transmission is made from node 1 (layer 3) to node 4 (layer 2). Hence, the TTGF layer 470 ID becomes 2 and TTGF count is set to default. Therefore, all the 471 one hop neighboring nodes apart from the previous sender can be 472 the next hop candidate for node 4. For case (b) shown in Fig. 10, 473 474 the TTGF layer ID is the same while the TTGF count is reduced by 1 and becomes 0 when it reaches node 4. Thus, only those with 475 a lower layer ID (Node 5 and 6) are qualified for the candidate 476 selection and respond to the query message. 477

478 5. CCR implementation and integration in RPL

479 5.1. Summery of RPL

The Routing Protocol for Low-power and Lossy Networks (RPL) is developed and standardized by IETF for enabling connectivity in IoT mesh networks. RPL uses a proactive process to construct and maintain a Destination-Oriented Directed Acyclic Graph (DODAG) routing topology, where the data concentrator sits at the root of the DODAG and the edges form a path from each node to the DAG root. The DODAG construction starts with the root broadcasting a DIO (DODAG Information Object) control message. Any node received this message can choose to join the DODAG by adding the 488 DIO sender to its parent list, and computes its rank relative to the 489 parent node based on an objective function. It then further for-490 ward the DIO message with updated rank information. Once the 491 DODAG is in place, nodes can send data via their relay parents un-492 til it reach the root. If the network is in a steady state, RPL uses 493 a low-rate DIO beacon process controlled by Trickle timer in or-494 der to maintain the DODAG routing topology. However, RPL would 495 temporarily increase the DIO sending frequency by resetting the 496 tricker time if network inconsistencies were detected. This process 497 allows RPL to dynamically adjust its control operations and reduces 498 unnecessary control overheads. 499

5.2. CCR's operation in Contiki RPL

In the following, the integration of CCR components into the 501 industrial RPL protocol is described. The detailed system architec-502 ture is shown in Fig. 11, where our contributions are highlighted, 503 notably we have: a) Developed a content based objective function 504 for the RPL protocol; b) Enabled multiple RPL instances on the 505 same RPL root, such that messages with different content types are 506 routed via different RPL DODAG instances. c) Caching, processing 507 and forwarding functions are added to the network layer to facili-508 tate in-network data aggregation. Details are described below. 509

There are a few ways to implement CCR, one way is to specify 510 a content Byte in the packet routing header, and the procedures 511 described in Section 4 can be used. However, a standard based implementation is more promising. Therefore, we adopt the existing 513 Contiki RPL standard as a baseline, which is augmented with more functionality without affecting backwards compatibility. 515

To meet Contiki RPL's implementation style, we revised CCR's 516 messaging exchange procedure and adopted the existing DIO 517 and DAO (DODAG Destination Advertisement Object) control messages proposed in RPL. In addition, since RPL supports multitopology routing over the same physical mesh network by using an instance-id, we assign instance-id to each content type and create multiple overlaid routing topologies (DODAGs) based on content. 522

For each content (RPL instance) *c*, the root node first starts advertising the information about the DODAG graph using the DIO message. Any node within the listening vicinity receives the message, and then it processes the message and makes a decision 526

500

Downloaded from http://iranpaper.ir

JID: COMCOM

10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

whether or not to join the graph according to the objective function. Node rank is computed representing the relative position in the DODAG with respect to the root. For simplicity, the objective function in (3) is simplified for CCR implementation and the node rank for each instance c can be calculated as per (9).

$$R_{Node}(c) = R_{parent}(c) - N_{content}(c) * \omega + O_{rank}(c) * \eta + BaseHop$$
(9)

where R_{parent} is a parent node's rank; $N_{content}$ is the total num-532 ber of child nodes associated with the parent node for the same 533 content *c* (parent node is inclusive if it is also the source node of 534 content c). This means if a parent node having more children for 535 536 the same content, it would have a larger value of $N_{content}$, hence a 537 lower rank (a better parent) in the DODAG graph; O_{rank} stands for 538 any other objective function (3) related parameters, for instance, ETX or Residual battery level etc.; ω and η are weighting parame-539 ters; and BaseHop is a constant. If BaseHop is not equal to 0, the 540 R_{Node} increases by adding more hops. 541

An example of CCR based multi-DODAG construction is shown 542 543 in Fig. 12, where Nodes 2, 4, 7, generates content A, Nodes 3, 5, 6, generate content B, and Node 8 generates both content A and con-544 545 tent B. The initial single DODAG routing topology without CCR is shown in Fig. 12(1). Now, when we apply CCR, different instance-546 ids are given to content A and content B, hence the DODAG shown 547 548 in Fig. 12(1) can be separated into two as shown in Fig. 12(2) and 549 (3). For simplicity, we assume $O_{rank} = 0$, root rank is 10, and Base-Hop is set to 4. Each parent will pass its own rank (R_{parent}) along 550 with the Content factor $(N_{content})$ in the DIO message. Let us take 551 content A for example as shown in Fig. 12(3). Since the root node 552 only has Node 2 to provide content A messages, the rank of Node 553 2 $R_2(A)$ can be calculated by using (9) as $R_2(A) = R_{root}(A) - 1 + 4 =$ 554 555 13. Similarly, the rank of Node 4 and Node 5 can be calculated accordingly. Now, in order to choose the best parent for Node 8, it 556 needs to calculate its rank based on whether to choose Node 4 or 557 558 Node 5 as its parent. Since, there would be 3 nodes (Nodes 4, 7, 8) sending content A messages to Node 4, hence $N_{content}(A) = 3$. 559 560 In contrast, Node 5 would only have Node 8 to send content A packets if it was chosen as parent, therefore $N_{content}(A) = 1$. Obvi-561 ously, Node 4 is a better parent compared with Node 5 based on 562 the objective function. As a result, Node 8 will switch its parent 563 564 from Node 5 to Node 4 as shown in Fig. 12(5). Same parent selection process is carried out for Content B in Fig. 12(2) and (4). 565 Eventually, two distinctive DODAGs for content A & B are formed 566 as shown in Fig. 12(6). 567

568 5.3. CCR core modules

569 *Memory allocation*: a set of memory blocks is statically allocated 570 for buffers, caches, and other data structure to handle CCR's com-571 munication.

572 *Content entry*: this module includes three main functions,

573 Node_Has_Content(), *Content_Type_Get() and Node_Add_ 574 Content(). The first function checks whether a parent Node has already cached the same content of a received message from its 575 child. If so, the second function is called to retrieve the pointer 576 pointing to the corresponding content in the memory. Otherwise, 577 the Node_Add_Content() is used to allocate memory to the new 578 579 content. Due to memory limitation of the Hardware platform, the 580 maximum number of content is set to 3 in this implementation.

581 *Content caching*: a content table is built with an unique index 582 assigned to each content object. The received packet payload is 583 then copied to the content table with a matching to the corre-584 sponding content type.

585 *Content processing and forwarding*: cached data for each content 586 will be processed if the parent node receives packets from its chil-587 dren or the maximum number of message stored in the buffer for

(2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2016.03.005

Table 1Energy consumptionByte.	of different operations per		
Operations Energy consumption (u.I)			

Operations	Energy consumption (µJ)
Transmission	9.72
Reception	8.22
Flash write	0.445
Flash read	0.315
Data aggregation	0.0011

the corresponding content is reached, or a timeout() is triggered. 588 The processed data will be forwarded based on the destination IP 589 address and destination port. 590

6. Performance evaluation

6.1. Simulation results

A simulation based study was first carried out in order to evaluate the performance of the proposed CCR protocol with the conventional methods. A global network lifetime maximization tree algorithm [27] (referred to as *Static Tree* hereafter) and the traditional centralized processing scheme (referred to as *Central* hereafter) were chosen as benchmarks.

The Static Tree is a centralized algorithm which pre-constructs 599 a maximum-lifetime data gathering tree before the network starts 600 to operate. In order to achieve a fair comparison, we added the 601 data aggregation to the Static Tree approach. In addition, since 602 global knowledge is required to perform the optimization for Static 603 Tree, which can be very time consuming to re-compute the op-604 timal tree each time there is a change in the network such as 605 node/link failures. To mitigate this issue, we slightly modified the 606 static tree algorithm to adapt to such failure cases, and apply a 607 simple but fast recovery mechanism to randomly choose the next 608 hop node with a lower layer ID if a failure event happens. On the 609 other hand, the central algorithm first gathers all the data at the 610 sink and then carries out processing to compute the results. 611

6.1.1. Simulation parameters

Please cite this article as: Y. Jin et al., Content centric routing in IoT networks and its integration in RPL, Computer Communications

Unless specified otherwise, a network deployment compris-613 ing of 200 nodes with nodes being uniformly distributed with a 614 $200 \times 200 \text{ m}^2$ area was assumed. Three applications with hetero-615 geneous traffic rates were considered in the simulations. Nodes 616 were assumed to have unequal energy levels at the startup time 617 in the r ange 4-6 J. The TTGF count was set to 2 and the con-618 trol packet size was assumed to be 500 bits. $p_{default}$ was set to 619 0.05 and the value of β was set to 2. A simple data aggrega-620 tion function which computes the maximum and the average of 621 the sensed values was considered in the simulations. A variable 622 data aggregation rate $\omega = \frac{1}{M}$ was used, where M is the total num-623 ber of messages received for the same application on a process-624 ing node. Tmote Sky node was chosen as our basic node model 625 which is equipped with an MSP430 processor and CC2420 radio 626 chip. The energy consumed by the different operations (per Byte) 627 for the Tmote Sky platform are adopt from [31], [32] and listed in 628 Table 1. Finally, the performance of the proposed CCR algorithm 629 was compared with a centralized lifetime maximization tree algo-630 rithm [27] (referred to as *Static Tree* hereafter) and the traditional 631 centralized processing scheme (referred to as *Central* hereafter). All 632 simulation results were averaged from 200 test runs which show 633 99% confidence interval with about mean-value \pm 10% precision. 634 The implementation experiments were run for more than 40 times 635 which show 95% confidence interval within \pm 10% of the sample 636 mean. 637

612 613

591

592

3

03

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx





12

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 13. Impact of p and β on network lifetime.



638 6.1.2. Impact of $p_{default}$ and β on lifetime

To begin with, simulations were run to determine the probabil-639 ity $p_{default}$ of executing the objective function *F*, and the weight pa-640 rameter β to decide the tradeoffs between the processing gain and 641 the local lifetime gain. $p_t = p_{default}$ when the network is in a sta-642 ble status. Intuitively, a larger value of $p_{default}$ gives more chances 643 for each node to select the best hop candidate in terms of having 644 645 a higher processing gain as well as a balanced lifetime. However, 646 as seen from Fig. 13(a), the network lifetime first increases when 647 $p_{default}$ is set to 0.05, but then it drops very quickly as the value of $p_{default}$ further increases. This stems from the fact that when $p_{default}$ 648 increases, more energy is spent on the control overhead to gather 649 650 local information in order to execute F. As evident from Fig. 13(a), 651 the energy consumption related to the increased control overhead also increases linearly with $p_{default}$ which implies that the node en-652 653 ergy depletes.

A suitable value of β is also needed as it has an impact on the local lifetime gain. A large value of β puts more weight on the local network lifetime gain which consequently produces a smaller value of *F* for the bottleneck node. However, when β is large enough to avoid overloading the bottleneck node, this increase of β value has no further impact on the network lifetime as evident from Fig. 13(b).

Therefore, application or network specific configurations might
be required before the network start to operate. Nevertheless, such
simple configuration is acceptable by the industry, for example, the
Trickle timer parameter can be tuned in the RPL protocol in or-

der to achieve the best performance result. Please note that in the following experiments, corresponding $p_{default}$ and β values determined in this section are used. 665

6.1.3. Energy consumptions

Simulations were run to identify the contribution of each of the 669 data processing, flash read/write and communication operations to 670 the total energy consumption. Fig. 14 shows the per round en-671 ergy consumption of processing, flash read/write and communica-672 tion operations and, the sum total of these. It is evident from this 673 figure that the energy consumed by communications significantly 674 dominates the energy consumed by the other operations. The cen-675 tral algorithm gathers all the data at the sink and then carries out 676 processing as a result of which it exhibits the highest communica-677 tion cost. By taking advantage of content-centric data aggregation 678 to reduce the volume of data that needs to be transported, CCR 679 saves more than half of the energy spent on communication in 680 comparison to the Central approach, about a third in comparison 681 to Static tree. Although in-network data aggregation is enabled in 682 Static Tree, it still incurs higher communication cost in comparison 683 to the proposed algorithm. This is because it employs a centralized 684 routing optimization approach, i.e. tree does not adapt to changes 685 in the underlying network (traffic dynamics). Global knowledge of 686 the network is needed to perform the optimization. In a dynamic 687 network environment, it can be time consuming to recompute the 688 optimal tree each time there is a change in the network such as 689 node/link failures, or arrival of a new application. Thus, the perfor-690 mance of the pre-optimized network topology in the Static Tree 691 approach degrades over time. Furthermore, since the processing 692 cost is too small to be spotted in Fig. 14, we point out that the 693 Central approach spent only 31 μ *J* of energy on processing. This is 694 only half of the processing cost compared with CCR and Static tree. 695 The key point to take note of here is that even a small increase in 696 processing cost for CCR and Static tree translates to large increase 697 in energy saving gains on communication. 698

6.1.4. Network lifetime

The network lifetime is defined as the time duration between 700 when the network starts to operate until the first node dies due 701 to energy depletion. As evident from this Fig. 15(a), CCR provides 702 a significant increase in network lifetime compared with the other 703 two. Furthermore, we observe that CCR has a smaller gap when the 704 network is scaled from 100 nodes to 300 nodes. This boils down 705 to the ability of CCR to reduce considerable amount of traffic in 706 the network as a result of using content-centric data aggregation. 707 Additionally, Fig. 15(b) shows the total energy spent on retransmis-708 sions per simulation round. As evident from this figure, CCR spends 709 the least amount of energy on retransmissions. This is attributed to 710 its ability to form a more reliable routing topology by taking link 711 quality into account. The central algorithm has the highest energy 712

668

699

ARTICLE IN PRESS

13

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx





(c) Number of alive node over simulation time

Fig. 15. Network lifetime comparison.

spent on retransmissions due to heavy traffic on links. However, 713 714 it drops significantly at the latter stage. This stems from the fact 715 that the number of alive nodes in the network is significantly decreased, hence less traffic is generated in the network compared 716 to the other two. Finally, the number of alive nodes against sim-717 718 ulation time is shown in Fig. 15(c). Clearly, CCR conserves more 719 energy resources for nodes which is vital for resource constrained devices. 720

721 6.1.5. Graphical network traffic comparison

04

Fig. 16 provides visualized traffic maps for a simulated network 722 with nodes generating three different types of contents. The line 723 724 width in the traffic map represents the volume of data flowing 725 through that link, i.e., the thicker the line, the higher the volume of 726 traffic flowing through it. Nodes marked by red color in each con-727 tent traffic map are those that can process the corresponding con-728 tent. By using CCR, it can be observed that traffic flows of the same 729 content are more likely to be routed to those red processing nodes and correlated data is more likely to be aggregated within the net-730 work resulting a much less traffic amount as shown in Fig. 16. 731

Fig. 17 provides traffic map comparison at different network op-732 eration time instances. The 'x' mark indicates a dead node that has 733 734 already depleted its energy. We can see that the Central approach 735 has much heavier communication traffic compared with CCR. In 736 addition, since the nodes in the area that are closer to the sink need to relay information for those located in the outer region. 737 Massive traffic can be observed at the centre of the network for 738 the Central method. This could easily cause the hot-spot problem, 739 while CCR and Static tree have much less communication data vol-740 ume after aggregation. Furthermore, by observing the number of 741 dead nodes in Fig. 17, we can clearly tell that CCR performs much 742

Та	ble	2	

experiment setup.					
Experiment setup	Parameters				
High data rate	1 Packet per second per node				
Low data rate	1 Packet per 5 seconds per node				
Traffic type	CBR				
Number of nodes	10(3Hops), 15(4Hops), 20(5Hops)				
Types of contents	2				
Maximum cached messages per content	3				
Baseline benchmark	RPL				

better in conserving node energy as well as in providing full network coverage. 743

6.2. Implementation results

In this section, we experiment on the evaluation of CCR's per-746 formance in Contiki Cooja Emulator based on the TelosB (also 747 known as Tmote Sky) Platform. A screen shot of the emulator is 748 shown in Fig. 18 and details of the experiment setup are shown 749 in Table 2. Since it is not straight forward to implement the Static 750 Tree in contiki cooja, we choose the RPL standard as the main com-751 petitor in our implementation based experiments. Similar to the 752 *Central* approach, RPL does not process data while routing packets. 753

Fig. 19 presents the number of average transmitted packets over a 10 s of period in the network. It can be observed that CCR is able to significantly reduce the amount of traffic. As a results, CCR spends less energy on communication and prolongs network lifetime as shown in Fig. 20. Although it can be noticed that CCR outperforms RPL in extending the network lifetime, the performance gain is reduced compared with our simulation results. This

745



14

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Network traffic with CCR

Fig. 16. Network traffic maps with 3 different contents. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).



Fig. 17. Graphic comparison of traffic maps at different time instances.

r61 is mainly due to the reason that we adopted the RPL based message signaling in order to make it compliant with the standard.
r63 However, this incurs additional costs on control overhead.

Two additional experiments were conducted in this implemen-764 tation evaluation, which could not be performed in the previous 765 simulation based tests. We first measure the average packet de-766 767 lay. In this test, a special message is generated on the farthest leaf node, the average reception time on the root node is recorded. In 768 769 order to have a correct and fair measurement of network latency, this special message is not cached in CCR as this incurs additional 770 waiting time, while the other messages are cached and processed 771 772 in the network. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 21.

Although the network latency increases when the number of hops increases in the case of both approaches (CCR & RPL), CCR outperforms RPL in both low data rate case and high data rate case. This is because the network is less congested by using CCR, therefore a low network latency can be achieved. We also observe that the magnitude of the improvement increases with an increase in the scale of the network. With 5 Hops (20 nodes) and high 779 data rate setting, CCR can provide as much as twice the reduc-780 tion in network latency. This indicates the scalability of CCR in 781 dense deployments. We further measured the packet delivery ra-782 tio and the outcome is illustrated in Fig. 22. RPL has a rapid per-783 formance degradation when the number of nodes increase to 20, 784 only 46% and 20% of the messages will eventually reach the sink 785 in the low data rate and high data rate case, respectively. This is 786 because the central area is highly congested due to heavy network 787 traffic, which RPL is not able to accommodate. In contrast, CCR still 788 achieves over 90% of PDR in the low data rate case with 20 nodes 789 and 60% of PDR in high data rate case. 790

[m5G;March 18, 2016;20:58]

6.3. CCR demonstration

Finally, we ported our implementation codes to the real hardware (TelosB node) and developed a Demo. Since it is very 793 challenging to deploy a large-scale multi-hop network with real 794

791

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

<u>File</u> Simulation Motes Tools Settings Help

	Tools Settings Help			_		
	Network				Mote output	
View Zoom			File Edit	View		
			Time ms	Mote	Message	
	20		32076	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 27, report 30 Battery 93	
	7 1		32378	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 19, report 29 Battery 93	
			32385	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 19, report 30 Battery 93	
	× ³		33243	ID: 26	DATA 'E=0' recy from 6, report 29 Battery 92	_
			33265	ID:20	DATA 'E=0' recv from 15, report 30 Battery 93	
			33278	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 13, report 29 Battery 95	
	- <mark>5</mark>		33286	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 16, report 29 Battery 93	_
?			33293	ID: 26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 16, report 30 Battery 93	
			33527	ID:26	DATA 'E=O' recv from 3, report 31 Battery 93	
🧯 🚺			33534	ID:26	DATA 'E=O' recv from 3, report 32 Battery 93	
			33547	ID:26	DATA 'E=0' recv from 7, report 25 Battery 97	
	1		33555	10:20	DATA E=0 Tecv Trom 14, Teport 24 Battery 97	
			Filter: ID:	26 DATA	'E=	
	<mark>1</mark> 4−−−8			_	Mote output	
			File Edit	View		
			Time ms	Mote	Message	
			19197	TD:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 18	
			19892	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 19	
Simulatio	n control 💶 🗙		20682	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 20	
Run Speed limit			21689	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 21	_
			23730	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 22 DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 23	
Start Pause	Step Reload		25465	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 24	
Time: 00:34 356			25918	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 25	_
Speed:			27644	ID:14 ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 20	
opecu.			29157	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 28	
			29902	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 29	
			30700	ID:14 ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 30 DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 31	
			32872	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 32	
			33560	ID:14	DATA send to 2 'ED = 0' report 33	•
			Filter: ID:1	4		
		Fig. 18. C	CR's evaluation	n on Cont	iki Cooja.	
	RPL	(1Packet	(Sec)	> RPL(1Packet/5 Sec)	
	- 000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		(траскет	t/Sec)	CCR	(IPacket/5 Sec)	
	5 Hops (20 Nodes)	· · · · · ·	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	////		
	,	77				
	4 Hops (15 Nodes)			11		
	2 Hana (4 0 Mail - 1					
	3 Hops (10 Nodes)					
		0 1	0 20	30	40 50 60 70	

Number of Packets transmitted per 10 Secs

15

16

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

[m5G;March 18, 2016;20:58]

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Fig. 23. CCR demo steup.

hardware nodes, as a first step we found a hybrid solution with 795 796 a Contiki Cooja emulator emulating a large-scale mesh network along with a few physical nodes to form the outer part of the mesh 797 network. Both the physical node and emulated node are running 798 the same CCR code. The boarder router of the physical network is 799 connected to one of the leaf nodes emulated in the Contiki Cooja 800 801 emulator. Hence, all packets sent by the telosB motes will route 802 through both the small scale real mesh network and the emu-803 lated large-scale wireless mesh network. By such approach, we can 804 demonstrate CCR technological benefit for a large scale mesh network, a demo setup picture can be seen in Fig. 23. We have suc-805 806 cessfully showcased the CCR demo in various occasions including Venturefest Bristol and Bath, 2015. 807

7. Conclusion 808

In this paper, we proposed and studied the performance of an 809 efficient data aggregation and reliable data delivery scheme CCR 810 for a deployment scenario where data from the IoT network end-811 points such as sensors have to traverse over wireless lossy links on 812 the way to the other endpoint hosting the IoT application. In par-813 ticular, CCR is a distributed approach which considers the traffic 814 reduction gain achieved through content-centric data aggregation 815 816 when routing traffic over reliable communication links by incorporating link quality information. Based on the content of a mes-817 818 sage, each node constructs a separate routing entry for each con-819 tent type by running the proposed novel objective function; the 820 key idea being to route heterogeneous types of content via selected 821 reliable communication links to nodes which are capable of aggregating and processing the information before forwarding the sum-822 mary information. This greatly reduces redundant communication 823 traffic and reduces retransmissions as a positive side-effect. Both 824 simulation and implementation results confirm that CCR can sig-825 826 nificantly extend the network lifetime, reduce network latency and 827 improve communication reliability.

828 For future work, hardware motes using the CCR protocol will be deployed in our office premises in order to collect more data. 829 In addition, the impact of the number of content types will be in-830 vestigated with the support of new hardware with larger memory. 831 Last but not the least, technologies such as data mining, fuzzy logic 832 will be explored to support in-network processing. We expect the 833 performance of CCR to improve further with the implementation 834

of more advanced processing functions and a better content defi-835 nition supporting more content types in the network. 836 837

Uncited Refrerences

Ref. [9],[16],[19],[20] 838

References

- 840 [1] D. Datla, X. Chen, T. Tsou, S. Raghunandan, S. Hasan, J. Reed, C. Dietrich, T. Bose, B. Fette, J. Kim, Wireless distributed computing: a survey of research 841 challenges, IEEE Commun. Mag. 50 (1) (2012) 144-152, doi:10.1109/MCOM. 842 2012.6122545 843 844
- [2] J. Yick, B. Mukherjee, D. Ghosal, Wireless sensor network survey, Comput. Netw. 52 (12) (2008) 2292-2330.
- [3] E. Fasolo, M. Rossi, J. Widmer, M. Zorzi, In-network aggregation techniques for wireless sensor networks: a survey, IEEE Wirel. Commun. 14 (2) (2007) 70-87, doi:10.1109/MWC.2007.358967.
- [4] Y. Jin, P. Kulkarni, S. Gormus, M. Sooriyabandara, Content centric and loadbalancing aware dynamic data aggregation in multihop wireless networks, in: Proceedings of the IEEE 8th International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob), 2012.
- [5] C. Alvarado, J. Teevan, M.S. Ackerman, D. Karger, Surviving the Information Ex-853 plosion: How People Find Their Electronic Information, MIT, USA, 2003. 854
- [6] T. Winter, P. Thubert, etal, Rpl: Ipv6 Routing Protocol for Low-power and Lossy Networks, (rfc 6550), http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc6550.txt, 2012
- [7] A. Dunkels, B. Gronvall, T. Voigt, Contiki a lightweight and flexible operating system for tiny networked sensors, in: Proceedings of the 29th Annual IEEE International Conference on Local Computer Networks, 2004.
- [8] CCNx Project, http://www.ccnx.org/
- ⁸⁶¹**Q5** [9] G. Mishra, M. Dave, A review on content centric networking and caching strategies, in: Proceedings of the 2015 Fifth International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies (CSNT), 2015, pp. 925-929, 863 doi:10.1109/CSNT.2015.119 865
- [10] G. Xylomenos, C. Ververidis, V. Siris, N. Fotiou, C. Tsilopoulos, X. Vasilakos, K. Katsaros, G. Polyzos, A survey of information-centric networking research, IEEE Commun, Surv. Tutor, 16 (2) (2014) 1024-1049, doi:10.1109/SURV.2013. 070813.00063
- [11] R. Rajagopalan, P. Varshney, Data-aggregation techniques in sensor networks: a survey, IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor. 8 (4) (2006) 48-63, doi:10.1109/COMST. 2006.283821
- [12] S. Lindsey, C. Raghavendra, K. Sivalingam, Data gathering algorithms in sensor 872 networks using energy metrics, IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 13 (9) (2002) 873 924-935, doi:10.1109/TPDS.2002.1036066 874
- [13] H.-C. Lin, F.-J. Li, K.-Y. Wang, Constructing maximum-lifetime data gathering 875 trees in sensor networks with data aggregation, in: Proceedings of the 2010 876 877 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), 2010, pp. 1–6, doi:10. 878 1109/ICC.2010.5502286
- [14] H.O. Tan, I. Körpeoğlu, Power efficient data gathering and aggregation in wire-879 less sensor networks, SIGMOD Rec. 32 (4) (2003) 66-71, doi:10.1145/959060. 880 959072. 881
- [15] J. He, S. Ji, Y. Pan, Y. Li, Constructing load-balanced data aggregation trees in 882 883 probabilistic wireless sensor networks, IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 25 (7) (2014) 1681-1690. 884

17

839

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

855

856

857

858

859 860

862

864

866

867

868

869

870

871

🚣 Downloaded from http://iranpaper.ir

918

920

921

922

928

929

930

931

Y. Jin et al./Computer Communications xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

- 885 [16] B. Zhang, W. Guo, G. Chen, J. Li, In-network data aggregation route strategy based on energy balance in WSNS, in: Proceedings of the 2013 11th Interna-886 tional Symposium on Modeling Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc Wireless Net-887 888 works (WiOpt), 2013, pp. 540–547. S. Ji, J.S. He, Y. Pan, Y. Li, Continuous data aggregation and capacity in proba-
- 889 890 bilistic wireless sensor networks, J. Parallel Distrib. Comput. 73 (6) (2013) 729-891 745.
- 892 W. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, H. Balakrishnan, An application-specific pro-[18] 893 tocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks, IEEE Trans. Wirel. Com-894 mun. 1 (4) (2002) 660-670, doi:10.1109/TWC.2002.804190
- 895 O. Younis, S. Fahmy, Heed: a hybrid, energy-efficient, distributed clustering ap-[19] proach for ad hoc sensor networks, IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput. 3 (4) (2004) 366–379, doi:10.1109/TMC.2004.41. 896 897
- M. Ye, C. Li, G. Chen, J. Wu, Eecs: an energy efficient clustering scheme in 898 [20] 899 wireless sensor networks, in: Proceedings of the 24th IEEE International Per-900 formance, Computing, and Communications Conference, 2005 (IPCCC 2005), 901 2005, pp. 535-540, doi:10.1109/PCCC.2005.1460630.
- D. Wei, Y. Jin, S. Vural, K. Moessner, R. Tafazolli, An energy-efficient cluster-902 [21] 903 ing solution for wireless sensor networks, IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun. 10 (11) 904 (2011) 3973-3983, doi:10.1109/TWC.2011.092011.110717
- 905 [22] Y. Abidy, B. Saadallahy, A. Lahmadi, O. Festor, Named data aggregation in wire-906 less sensor networks, in: Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Network Operations and 907 Management Symposium (NOMS), 2014, pp. 1-8.
- 908 [23] L. Villas, A. Boukerche, H. Ramos, H. de Oliveira, R. de Araujo, A. Loureiro, 909 Drina: a lightweight and reliable routing approach for in-network aggregation 910 in wireless sensor networks, IEEE Trans. Comput. 62 (4) (2013) 676-689.
- 911 Y. Jin, S. Gormus, P. Kulkarni, M. Sooriyabandara, Link quality aware and con-912 tent centric data aggregation in lossy wireless networks, in: Proceedings of 913 the 2014 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 914 2014, pp. 3082-3087.

- [25] S. Madden, M.J. Franklin, J.M. Hellerstein, W. Hong, Tag: a tiny aggregation 915 service for ad-hoc sensor networks, SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev. 36 (SI) (2002) 916 131-146, doi:10.1145/844128.844142. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/844128. 917 844142. 919
- [26] S. Motegi, K. Yoshihara, H. Horiuchi, Dag based in-network aggregation for sensor network monitoring, in: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Applications on Internet (SAINT '06), IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 2006, pp. 292-299, doi:10.1109/SAINT.2006.20. URL http://dx.doi.org/10. 1109/SAINT.2006.20.
- 923 [27] Y. Wu, Z. Mao, S. Fahmy, N. Shroff, Constructing maximum-lifetime data-924 925 gathering forests in sensor networks, IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw. 18 (5) (2010) 1571-1584, doi:10.1109/TNET.2010.2045896. 926 927
- [28] A. Sharaf, J. Beaver, A. Labrinidis, K. Chrysanthis, Balancing energy efficiency and quality of aggregate data in sensor networks, VLDB J. 13 (4) (2004) 384-403 doi:10 1007/s00778-004-0138-0
- [29] I. Solis, K. Obraczka, The impact of timing in data aggregation for sensor networks, in: Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Communications, 6, 2004, pp. 3640-3645Vol.6, doi:10.1109/ICC.2004.1313222
- 932 [30] D.S.J. De Couto, D. Aguayo, J. Bicket, R. Morris, A high-throughput path metric 933 for multi-hop wireless routing, in: Proceedings of the 9th Annual International 934 Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom '03), ACM, New 935 York, NY, USA, 2003, pp. 134-146. 936 937
- [31] N. Tsiftes, A. Dunkels, A database in every sensor, in: Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems (SenSys '11), ACM, 938 New York, NY, USA, 2011, pp. 316-332, doi:10.1145/2070942.2070974.
- 939 [32] S. Nath, Energy efficient sensor data logging with amnesic flash storage, in: 940 Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Information Processing 941 in Sensor Networks (IPSN '09), IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 942 2009, pp. 157-168. 943

Please cite this article as: Y. Jin et al., Content centric routing in IoT networks and its integration in RPL, Computer Communications (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2016.03.005

18

JID: COMCOM